

Notes of a virtual meeting of Councillors to consider Planning Matters. The meeting was held on 27/8/2024, using Zoom¹.

As this was not a formal meeting, decisions could not be made – it was merely to advise the Clerk on responses when not able to be determined in Full Council.

Present: Cllrs B Bax, V Carpenter, T de Winton, W Gardiner, S Ullswater
Clerk (taking notes) and c. four Members of the Public

- 1) Cllr de Winton Chaired the Meeting.
- 2) To note apologies for absence – received from Cllrs Borthwick and Osborn.
- 3) Declarations of interest - none
- 4) To receive comments from the Public on Applications to be considered (3 mins each max) – comments taken at the time each application was discussed.
- 5) To consider the following Planning Applications:
 - a) 24/01379 - Erection of 1.5m high fence to boundary with churchyard (St Marys House, London Street, Brancaster) – *no objection to be raised to this application (unanimous).*
 - b) 24/01389 – Variation/removal of conditions attached to planning permissions 23/01084 and 22/01638: Conversion of existing barn into residential dwelling. (Barrow Hill Barn, Common Lane, Brancaster Staithe) – *no objection to be raised to this application (unanimous).*
 - c) 24/01377 - Demolition and construction of a self-build replacement dwelling, with swimming pool, pool house, garage and store. (Broad Lane House, Broad Lane, Brancaster) – *objection to be raised to this application, on grounds of number of bedrooms (NP Pol 1). Should Borough be minded to approve, some device to prevent the use of the attic/loft as bedrooms should be added.*
 - d) 24/01410 - Rear extension & alterations to dwelling (2 Harbour View Terrace, Main Road, Brancaster Staithe) – *no objection to be raised to this application (unanimous).*
 - e) 24/01442 - New car port, new front and rear dormers. Conversion of attic space to form 2 bedrooms. (Staithe House, 4 Town Farm Close, Brancaster) – *no objection to be raised to this application.*
 - f) Consider any applications arriving later than those listed if requested - none.
- 6) To note any other Planning matters: there was discussion of interpretation of the Neighbourhood Plan; it was felt it may be useful to have a session with Cllrs and the new Clerk in due course, to look at each policy in turn, and seek clarity and consistency on how to frame responses. Clerk noted he had had a response regarding what the Borough felt was a 2.5 storey house – to be forwarded to Cllrs.

¹ There were occasional “blinks” in Zoom reception. It was not recorded when individuals may have been out of comms.